

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 JUSTIN JOHN MASSARO,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 AMADOR COUNTY,

15 Defendants.
16

No. 2:22-cv-00355-WBS-CKD

ORDER

17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief
18 under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to
19 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

20 On August 17, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
21 which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to
22 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party has filed
23 objections to the findings and recommendations.

24 Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and
25 recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to
26 keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service
27 of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

28 /////


1 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602
2 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.
3 See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having
4 reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record
5 and by the magistrate judge's analysis.

6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

7 1. The findings and recommendations filed August 17, 2022, are adopted in full.

8 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Local Rule
9 183(b).

10 Dated: October 4, 2022


WILLIAM B. SHUBB
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19 12/mass0355.803.docx
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28